Asset Management in NL

Leo Klatter

july 2008



Outline presentation

- Introduction
- Life cycle based maintenance management
- Management of an ageing bridge stock
- Traffic loads
- Risk based inspections



National highways Network



3100 km highway,

of total 60 000 km road outside cities

- of which 2400 km motorway,
- approx. 1100 km with traffic control systems (ITS):
 - 7 road traffic control centers
 - 91 dynamic route info signs
 - ► 51 ramp metering points
 - 11 peak hour lanes

Traffic movements

45 % (vehicle-kilometers)



Asset types





Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Public Works 4





Life-cycle based maintenance management

Maintenance key factors Asset

- Type and size
- Use
- Performance
- Maintenance programs aimed at performance

Looking ahead

- Future performance
- Life Cycle cost
- Risk based





Structures in national networks

Structure type	Quantity	Replacement value
		[Billion Euro]
Movable bridge	58	
Concete bridge (> 200m)	45	
Concrete bridge (<200 m)	571	
Steel bridge	37	
Underpass	531	
Tunnel	14	
Viaduct in highway	1515	
Viaduct over highway	930	
subtotal highways	3700	12.0
Movable bridge	100	
Concete bridge (> 200m)	15	
Concrete bridge (<200 m)	70	
Steel bridge	79	
Underpass	6	
Subtotal water network	270	1.3

Total	3970	13.3



Development in Maintenance



Rijkswaterstaat

Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Public Works 8





Basic Level of Maintenance





Describe basic level of maintenance Example: Intervention level expansion joints

Epoxy beams;

Bonded seal

Damage profile	Intervention level
Defects beam	- No fracture - Crack width max. 0,4 mm.
Joint seal	No leakage





Preservation plans

Decomposition into elements for maintenance measure planning

Example decomposition concrete bridge



Main structure Kerbs Piers pavement Expansion joints bearings Guard rail Railing Drainage system abutments



Maintenance costs reference object





Life-cycle based maintenance management





Bridge management system

03	G-306-01		Viad	uct	over de Til	weg (Zijldijk) (IN)				Rijksweg Beheerder	46	Hecton Dkr. (neter : Groninge	32,9 +0 n	6 Bouw	vjaar 1 9	176	<< Te	erug	Na hoofds	ar scherm
	IHP-inspectie	2006	6 2	012		Instandhoudingsinspectie	5000			201	21										
- 1	Referentiege	gevens				Advies Rijkswatersta	at Bou	wdien	st		-	-	_	TOTAA	L : Ko	sten pe	er plan	jaar (K€	3		
	IHP-Maatregel	Laatsti Jivti	∋Std B Int.v	erek. JvU	. Kosten vlgs kengetal (€)	Maatregel informatie Actie nr. DISK	Adv. JvU	Uiterst JVU	Geraamde Kosten (€	e Planj) Distri	aar 200 ct	6 200	7 2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
								Insta	ndhoud	linasona	ierdele	n		20							-
-	geleiderail	constr	uctie																		-1
	Groot Onderho	v 1976	12 19	988	€ 2.856	Actie: vervangen stijlen	2009	2012	€ 10.0	00 201	0				10						
	Vervangen	1976	25 20	001	€ 6.678		2020														
-	hemelwate	erafv.s	yst.				,		,											-	
	Groot Onderho	× 1976	10 19	986	€ 1.200	Actie: aanpassen HWA	2008	2010	ļ	201	0				1						
	Vervangen	1976	50 20	026	€ 3.176		2026														
-	leuning																				
	Groot Onderho	v 1976	12 19	988	€ 3.132		2020														
	Vervangen	1976	62 20	038	€ 9.222		2038														
-	asfaltcons	tructie	,						·											-	
	Groot Onderho	1998	10 20	008	€ 480	Actie: vervangen ZOAB t	2009	2010	€ 20.0	00				20							
	Vervangen	1998	20 20	018	€ 8.160		2018														
-	hoofddraa	gconst	ructie																		
	Groot Onderho	v 1976	30 20	006	€ 2.646	Actie: Uitvoeren betonrep	2007	2008	€ 100.0	00 201	0				100					Τ	
	Vervangen	1976	90 20	066	€ 313.404		2066														
-	oplegging																				
	Groot Onderho	» 1976	22 19	998	€ 32.664		2020														
	Vervangen	1976	45 20	021	€ 51.192		2021														
	schampkar	nt																			
	Groot Onderho	1976	12 19	988	€ 680		2020														

Management of an ageing bridge stock





Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Public Works 16

Development motorways



Number of vehicles 0.5 million (1960) -> 7 million (2007)









Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Public Works 19

Bigger, heavier, larger numbers

1960 - 2007









🗧 Rijkswaterstaat

Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Public Works 21

Steel bridges: fatigue cracks in orthotrope decks





Concrete bridges; structural reliability at stake



Development of heavy traffic and designs 1950 -2007





Structural reliability at stake

Sense of urgency – Hollandse Brug





Rijkswaterstaat Centre for Public Works 25

Research Approach

Assessment f(load, condition/strength, future use)



Proof loading/ destructive testing Desk study; evaluation design

Philosophy structural reliability

Inspections



Traffic loads

- Measurement real-life loads
- Prognosis of development
- Analysis of structural effects
- Heavy transports





Use in practice: weight in motion



Figure 1, section of Kistler sensor



Example extreme axle load



Datum:	7 december 2007
Tijd:	16:03:52
Voertuig nr:	57771400
Rijstrook:	5 R-L
Meetlocatie:	RW 004 1 HR L
Subcategorie:	0222
Snelheid (km/uur):	83

	dynamisch	statisch	lengte (m)
totaal	102,0	0,0	19,70
	dynamisch	statisch	afstand (m)
as 1	7,4		0,00
as 2	8,3		1,51
as 3	13,3		2,06
as 4	13.6		1.40
as 5	20,5		11,58
as 6	38,9		1,62







Vehicle spectra







Pronosis 2020

Traffic intensity trucks per hour

< 200 vehicles
200-400 vehicles
400-600 vehicles
600-800 vehicles
800-1000 vehicles
1000-1200 vehicles
1200-1400 vehicles
1400-1600 vehicles
1600-1800 vehicles
> 1800 vehicles

Extra damage expansion joint; Old recommendation: Max. axle load was 190 kN New measurements: Max. axle load becomes 240 kN **Development maximum stress anchorplate** Principal stress [N/mm2] 70 New situation: 60 Sxx=60,5 N/mm² 50 means: 40 2 million cycles 30 20 Old situation: 10 $Sxx=47,8 \text{ N/mm}^2$ 0 means: 50 100 150 200 250 () 20 million cycles Axle-load [kN]

Structural details: Expansion joint



Risk based inspections.

- Inspection focused at timely identification of current and future RAMS-risks:
 - Reliability
 - Availability
 - Maintainability
 - Safety
- Aimed at construction specific or material specific risks
- Aimed at use-specific risks
- Aimed at function specific risks





Example risk based inspection



Large scale concrete damage at abutments: Condition based: Bad condition; Risk based: low risk Bad detail in the main span with no (visual) damage: Condition based: good condition Risk based: high risk



Critical bridges come up





Thank you for your kind attention



